PROPSAL EVALUATION SHEET

CP 85-23: Bridge on CSAH 85 North of CSAH 62

Consultant: TKDA

Scorer: _____

Evaluation Criteria	Scale	Score	Notes
Project Understanding Based on understanding of County and City objectives, project scope, complexity to complete the project, overall quality of the presentation of the proposal, innovativeness, deliverable due dates and attention to the main issues described in the RFP.	0-15	13	Seemed to understand the agricultural aspect of the project. Experience on other bridges
Public Engagement Based on approach to Public Engagement on the project. The team member's individual and team approach. Experience on similar projects.	0-25	18	Communication based on individual preference, (phone, text, email). Homeowners and travelers also mentioned
Project Team and Expertise Based on project management team and qualifications, similar project experience of key team members, and consultants experience and understanding of the area.	0-30	20	Included resumes for everyone on the team. Bridge certification Noted Inspector on site always with Lead Inspector as backup
Quality Control Based on methods to ensure a quality product delivered on schedule.	0-15	11	Supplied bar chart schedule. Emphasized coordination with contractor and subs with respect to availability. Proactive
Cost and Hours Based on the number and reasonableness of the hours and costs per task included in the proposal. How many staff members per task based on the amount of work required.	0-15	10	Hours might be a bit low but comparable to WSB.