



To: Tony Wotzka, PLA

From: Jeff Ostrom

cc: Jake Chapek, PE & Matt Fuerst

Date: 4/21/2025

Re: Mississippi River Greenway Construction – Amendment 2

Tony,

When we submitted the original amendment in Fall 2024, we didn't have a clear picture of the remaining work, particularly around the barrier wall modification and the gate.

Our task breakdown budget vs. spend is as follows.

	Contract	Spent	Needed Difference
Total Budget	\$ 610,602	\$ 615,932	\$ (5,330)
Contract Mgmt, Admin, Misc. Expertise	\$ 77,578	\$ 104,562	
Full Time Site Observation	\$ 329,120	\$ 302,605	\$ 26,515
Staking Services	\$ 78,000	\$ 119,893	
Post Construction Documents & As-Builts	\$ 52,000	\$ 20,070	\$ 31,930
2025 Post Construction Services	\$ 20,123	\$ 22,530	
Reimbursables	\$ 12,327	\$ 12,370	
AET Material Testing	\$ 41,455	\$ 33,903	

Essentially, we need the original budgeted amounts for full time site observation and post construction documents & as-builts. This totals \$58,445, of which we have spent \$5,330 to date. This would leave \$53,115 to complete and close out the project. The amendment previously executed covers the overages in staking services as well as contract management, administration, miscellaneous expertise – which we documented previously. The reason the first amendment didn't get us to the finish line was the recent unanticipated work related to the barrier wall modification and the gate as well as the gas permit delay.

The expected construction cost of the project at this point is \$9,021,000, which means if this amendment request is approved, our cost for administration, inspection, staking, reimbursable, and materials testing would be 7.4% of construction, well under the 8-10% we normally expect.

In summary, our request is for an additional amendment totaling \$58,445. We are confident that it will leave us in a position to complete all the remaining work.